

COLLABORATIVES: What Makes Them Work?

Environment	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ History of collaboration or cooperation ➤ Seen as leaders in the field ➤ Political / social climate favorable 	Ratings:	Low	High
			1 2 3 4 5	1 2 3 4 5
Comments:		TOTAL: /15		
Membership	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Mutual respect, understanding, trust ➤ Appropriate cross-section of members ➤ Members see collaboration as in their 	Ratings:	Low	High
			1 2 3 4 5	1 2 3 4 5
Comments:		TOTAL: /15		
Process and Structure	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Members share a stake in both process and outcomes ➤ Multiple layers of decision making ➤ Flexibility ➤ Development of clear roles and policy guidelines ➤ Adaptability of collaboratives 	Ratings:	Low	High
			1 2 3 4 5	1 2 3 4 5
Comments:		TOTAL: /25		

Communication	➤ Open and frequent	Ratings:	Low				High
			1	2	3	4	5
	➤ Established formal and informal links		1	2	3	4	5
	Comments:		TOTAL:			/10	
Purpose	➤ Concrete, attainable goals and objectives	Ratings:	Low				High
			1	2	3	4	5
	➤ Shared vision		1	2	3	4	5
	➤ Unique purpose		1	2	3	4	5
	Comments:		TOTAL:			/15	
Resources	➤ Sufficient funds	Ratings	Low				High
			1	2	3	4	5
	➤ Skilled convener		1	2	3	4	5
	Comments:		TOTAL:			/10	

Reference: Mattessich, Ph.D., Paul and Monsey, M.P.H., Barbara R. *Collaboration: what makes it work*, St. Paul, Minnesota, Amherst H. Wilder Foundation, 1992.

Our Work Plan

What is the data telling us?

Strengths

Limitations/Gaps

What are possible actions we could take?